


Applicant: Charles C. Brackett

Serial No.: 09/557,153

Filed: April 24, 2000

Title: IMAGING SYSTEM HAVING MEANS FOR
CREATING, MANAGING AND SELECTING
FROM A LIST OF EXAM DESCRIPTIONS

Hon. Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



: Group Art Unit: 3626

: Examiner: Frenel, V.

: Date: May 13, 2005

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified application
is a Response to Final Rejection.

FEE FOR ADDITIONAL CLAIMSX A fee for additional claims is not required.

 A fee for additional claims is required. The additional fee
has been calculated as shown below:

	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		NUMBER OF EXTRA CLAIMS	RATE	ADDITIONAL FEE
TOTAL CLAIMS:	12	-	18	=	0	x \$50 =	0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS:	2	-	4	=	0	x \$200 =	0
TOTAL FEE DUE \$							0


 Our check for payment of the fee for additional claims in the
amount of \$_____ is enclosed.

 Please charge \$_____ to Deposit Account No. 07-0845 in payment
of the fee.

X The Commissioner is authorized to charge payment of any
extension or other fee under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 which may be required
by this paper or credit any overpayment of same to Deposit Account No.
07-0845.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants


Dennis M. Flaherty
Reg. No. 31,159
Ostrager Chong Flaherty
& Broitman LLP
250 Park Avenue, 8th Floor
New York, NY 10177-0899
(212) 681-0600



AF
3626

Atty. Docket: 15-UL-5584

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Charles C. Brackett : Group Art Unit: 3626
Serial No.: 09/557,153 : Examiner: Frenel, V.
Filed: April 24, 2000
Title: IMAGING SYSTEM HAVING MEANS FOR
CREATING, MANAGING AND SELECTING
FROM A LIST OF EXAM DESCRIPTIONS

Hon. Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO FINAL REJECTION

Sir:

In response to the Final Rejection mailed on March 11, 2005 in the above-referenced patent application, the Applicant hereby requests reconsideration of the application in light of the following remarks. If the arguments set forth below leave the Examiner unpersuaded, then the undersigned requests that a telephone interview be conducted with participation by the Supervisory Patent Examiner.

REMARKS

In the Office Action, all claims (19-30) stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,208,974 to Campbell et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,077,666 to Brimm et al. The Applicant traverses this ground of rejection for the same reasons previously given in the Response filed on November 26, 2004 and for the following additional reasons.